

# Presumptive Guilt

Our Constitution is an outline. Just like any board of directors, “*We, the People*” have left the details to be worked out by our capable employees. They document their law making activities in public records, reporting on their assigned task, specified by our accountability requirements. Their documentation is contained in our Library of Congress. This library contains many records. Legislation (our laws), cascading down from our outline, has been assembled in a huge series of documents which government has labeled our “*United States Code (USC)*”.

While researching, one can view amazing magic and not even see it. Why is our employee labeling our laws “*Code*”? Shouldn’t this more accurately be labeled “United States Law (USL)”, or is their label already accurate?

Imbedded in their code (USC), buried so deep that I had to rent a drilling rig to excavate it, is evil manipulation. Some of this is so infinitely boring that any person trying to discover the truth will hypnotically lapse into a coma. Snapping back to stark reality, evil intent is as precise as its hypnotic camouflage basking in the complexity of an oversized government.

Under Congress, “*How Laws are Made and Coded*” (2 USC 285), exists the “*Office of the Law Revision Counsel*”, whose job is to clean up messy collections of laws. They have termed their cleanup “*Positive Law codification*” and defined it as follows:

*“Positive law codification is the process of preparing and enacting, one title at a time, a revision and restatement of the general and permanent laws of the United States. The Office of the Law Revision Counsel of the U.S. House of Representatives prepares and publishes the United States Code [USC] pursuant to section 285b of title 2 of the Code. The Code is a consolidation and codification by subject matter of the general and permanent laws of the United States.”*

*“Because many of the general and permanent laws ... are inconsistent, redundant, and obsolete, the Office of the Law Revision Counsel of the House of Representatives has been engaged in a continuing comprehensive project authorized by law to revise and codify, for enactment into positive law, each title of the Code. When this project is completed, all the titles of the Code will be legal evidence of the general and permanent laws ...”*

*“Certain titles of the Code have been enacted into positive law, and ... the text of those titles [enacted into positive law] is legal evidence of the law contained in those titles.” “The other titles of the Code are prima facie evidence of the laws contained in those titles.”*

Simplifying the above, the USC is a consolidation and organization by subject matter. When this project is completed, “... all the titles of the Code will be legal evidence of the general and permanent laws ...”

Escaping immediate realization, in the collection’s present state, some of the “*Code*” is not “*enacted into positive law*”.

Enact: “*Politics: make something law: to make proposed legislation into law*”

Positive law: “*irrefutable: conclusive and beyond doubt or question*”

# Presumptive Guilt

Some of the “Code” is not conclusive, not beyond doubt or question. It is refutable.

Refute:

*Prove something wrong: to prove something to be false or somebody to be in error through logical argument or by providing evidence to the contrary*

*Deny something: to deny an allegation or contradict a statement without disproving it*

Without enactment, this is “proposed” legislation, not law. It requires congressional vote and passage to become Sovereign sanctioned law.

The third paragraph from above:

*“Certain titles of the Code have been enacted into positive law, and ... the text of those titles [enacted into positive law] is legal evidence of the law contained in those titles.”*

This seems straightforward; however government deploys another precise manipulative missile. Other titles are different. Omission of crucial information combines with diversion to downplay the significance of their two categories. To resurrect the significance, let’s elevate the clarity of their three words, “*The other Titles*”:

*“...The other Titles of the Code [in the same group containing enacted law]...”*

Government stipulates there are two categories of “law” intermixed in the “Code”. Enacted law resides with other subjects (“*The other Titles*”). When you view the USC, everything is referenced with similar numbers and letters in an orderly sequence. There are no indicators to distinguish which laws are “*positive*”, and which ones are not. They all appear the same; only the titles differ, reflecting different subjects. Distinguishing detail has been isolated (omitted from where it is needed), buried, masking importance.

The existence of two categories proves there are significant differences between them. The first category is clear, enforceable law based on their titles, so what can be the meaning in “*The other Titles*”?

# Presumptive Guilt

*“The other titles of the Code are prima facie evidence of the laws contained in those titles.”*

Prima facie:

*At first glance: on initial examination or consideration*

*Sufficient to establish a fact or raise a presumption unless disproved or rebutted<sup>1</sup>*

Presumption:

*1. something believed without actual evidence: a belief based on the fact that something is considered to be extremely reasonable or likely*

*3. LAW - legal inference: an inference that something is the case, in the absence of evidence rebutting that assumption and on the basis of other known facts*

*4. belief in something that seems reasonable: the acceptance that something is correct, without having proof of it, on the grounds that it is extremely likely (formal)*

*5. something that could be proof: an indication that something exists or is true (formal)*

*“The other Titles ...are prima facie law”, only sufficient to “raise a presumption”, inferring something to be true unless refuted. Bluntly documented, “The other Titles” are ambiguous, mislabeled, pending legislation (not law), not legalized through enactment, not executable through title reference. They are presumed to be enforceable based on their wrong labels, not what’s actually in the underlying “code”.*

*“Positive law” - The subject matter jurisdiction of each title is established by the underlying details encased below its title, and legally authorized by “We, the People” through Congressional Concurrence (vote).*

*“The other Titles” (not positive law) - The subject matter jurisdiction of each title cannot be established because the underlying details encased below its title do not represent the meaning of the title.*

Mislabeled, misapplied militant presumptive “Code”, because of its placement with positive law, implies equal authority, masking color-of-law, exceeding congressional subject matter authority limits, and breaching our Constitution contract and employee oath. It misdirects judicial and executive employees, manipulating misinterpretation and abusive execution.

The same positive Constitutional authority used to carry out the titles that are “*positive law*” regresses to “presumed authority” over mislabeled “Titles”, creating “presumptive” subject matter jurisdiction with no basis.

---

<sup>1</sup> Black’s Law Dictionary, Abridged 7th Edition, 2000

# Presumptive Guilt

“*The other Titles* are “Presumptive Law” (synonymous with misplaced regulations), a more accurate classification I chose to highlight extreme harm to “*Morality*”, “*Sovereignty*”, and “*Unalienable*”; and to expose widespread Abuse of Power.

The proper location for “*The other Titles*” is in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), full of presumptive Admiralty authority over federal “*places*” and subject citizenry (soldiers and government employees).

“Presumptive Law” directly exploits the terms “Unalienable” and “Sovereign”. The split second that one “Presumptive Law” is placed in the USC, a cascading barrage of attacks upon Sovereigns is initiated.

Through Congressional manipulation of employee ignorance, mislabeled “presumptive law” illegally executes fraudulent subject matter under Abuse of Power across territorial limits, to breach Sovereign Rights. Abuse of Power becomes established law.

Abuse is ignorantly presumed correct. Presumption of correctness establishes “Guilty Until Proven Innocent”. Government executes Admiralty law with “Presumptive Guilt”.