2 USC 285 Legislative "Positive Law Codification" Subversion https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/2/chapter-9A

https://uscode.house.gov/codification/legislation.shtml;jsessionid=FB53CF2DD98274F2187F50DD8EE0 B6AC

In "*How <u>Laws</u> are Made and <u>Coded</u>*" (2 USC 285), the Legislative Defendants' "*Office of the <u>Law</u> Revision Counsel*" is tasked to maintain <u>OUR Library</u> of LAWS as follows:

"<u>Positive law codification</u> is the process of preparing and enacting, one title at a time, a revision and restatement of the general and permanent laws of the United States. The Office of the Law Revision Counsel of the U.S. House of Representatives prepares and publishes the United States <u>Code</u> [USC] pursuant to section 285b of title 2 of the Code.

The Code is a consolidation and codification by subject matter of the general and permanent laws of the United States."

*"Because <u>many of the general and permanent laws</u> ... <u>are inconsistent</u>, redundant, and obsolete, the Office of the Law Revision Counsel of the House of Representatives has been engaged in a continuing comprehensive project* 

authorized by law [self-authorized to subversively manipulate OUR Library]

to revise and *codify, FOR ENACTMENT into positive law*,

each title of the Code.

When this project is completed,

all the titles of the Code WILL BE LEGAL EVIDENCE

of the general and permanent laws.

"Certain titles" of the Code have been enacted into "positive law",

and "the text of those titles is legal evidence of the law

contained in those titles".

"*The other titles* of the Code *are prima facie evidence* of the laws contained in those titles."

<u>ALL of OUR LAWS require compliance</u> with OUR Constitution's specifications, including proper identification, clarity, and Congressional <u>enactment</u> to be enforceable.

Self-documented by the Legislative Defendants in 2 USC 285,

**OUR Library**'s "general and permanent <u>LAWS</u>"

**contain TWO categories of** *"CODE"*:

(a) "Certain titles of the Code <u>have been enacted</u> into positive law" each of these titles precisely conveys its underlying details,
"LEGAL EVIDENCE",

in compliance with OUR Constitutional specifications.

This category contains clear, enforceable LAW.

(b) The Legislative Defendants' documented subversions of authority attain new levels of Moral degeneration.

Manipulating two categories of "*laws*",

those which are enacted leaves only one other,

#### those **<u>NOT ENACTED</u>**,

i.e., those PENDING enactment - NOT LAWS.

# (c) "<u>The other titles of the Code</u>" <u>deviate</u> from "positive law"; they "are prima facie [ <u>appear to be</u> ] <u>evidence</u> of the (<u>NOT</u>) <u>laws</u>" contaminating OUR Library:

Prima facie:

At first glance: on initial examination or consideration;

Sufficient to establish a fact or *raise a presumption* 

unless disproved or rebutted

#### Presumption: something believed without actual evidence:

a <u>belief</u> based on the fact that something is considered to be extremely reasonable or likely

legal inference: an *inference* that something is the case,

*in the absence of evidence rebutting that assumption* 

and on the basis of other known facts

**belief** in something that seems reasonable:

the *acceptance that something is correct, without having proof* of it,

on the grounds that it is extremely likely

#### A legal inference or assumption that a fact exists,

based on the known or proven existence of some other fact or group of facts

#### A presumption shifts the burden

of production or persuasion to the opposing party,


who can then <u>attempt to overcome</u> the presumption.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> (Black's Law Dictionary, Seventh Edition © & (P) 2000 West Group, All rights reserved)

Presumptions derived from *"appearance"* (purposefully mislabeled *"code"*) shift the burden of proof;

thereby creating presumption of correctness **<u>until proven wrong</u>**;

thereby **violating** the basic concept of **Unalienable** Rights.



*"When this project is completed,"* 

all the titles of the Code WILL BE LEGAL evidence ... ".

**WHEN the "other titles** of the code" **BECOME** "enacted into positive <u>law</u>", THEY "WILL BE LEGAL evidence":

Enact: "Politics: make something law: to make proposed legislation into law"

Positive law: "irrefutable: conclusive and beyond doubt or question"

Refute: "to prove something to be false or somebody to be in error

through logical argument or by providing evidence to the contrary"

The Legislative Defendants' "other titles of the code"

are ambiguous, mislabeled, **NOT "enacted** into positive law",

and **NOT "LEGAL EVIDENCE**".

They are Constitutionally non-compliant, incomplete, pending legislation or misfiled Regulations <u>manipulated</u> into OUR Library posing as LAW, but unequivocally <u>NOT LAW</u>.

## When viewing OUR Library's entire USC content, all titles and subtitles have orderly number and letter references

appearing to represent equal authority throughout (tacit inclusion);

however,

each Title's **authority**, its most fundamental property,

is isolated, encoded, and buried in 2 USC 285 (Obscured Clarification).

The Legislative Defendants' presumptive "other titles"

coexist alongside "Positive Law" Titles under

OUR "general and permanent LAWS of the United States"; thereby

**impersonating** "Positive Law" Titles,

falsifying Subject Matter Authority,

subverting OUR Constitution's restrictions,

contaminating OUR Library,

and suborning illicit implementation and adjudication

(Allegations 01, 09, 10, 11).

These *"other Titles"* belong in the section of OUR Library

designated Code of Federal Regulations (CFR),

carrying "presumptive" authority over specific federal "places"

and "subject entities" (soldiers, Government employees, corporations, etc.).

The Legislative Defendants' 2 USC 285 procedures and ALL *"other titles"* contaminate OUR Library of <u>LAWS</u>

with prima facia (appearance of LAW) **<u>Regulations</u>**;

thereby disgracing OUR Constitution, OUR Morality,

and OUR global Reputation by tacit inclusion (Omissive Fraud).

Their continued presence purporting equality to <u>enacted</u> "positive <u>LAW</u>" suborns admiralty subjugation

endangering OUR SOVEREIGNTY, OUR "safety and happiness".